

Supersymmetric Skyrme Model

June 20/2016 @ **Krakow**

SIG V - 20-23 June 2016: Skyrmions - from atomic nuclei to neutron stars

Muneto Nitta
(Keio U., Jp)



Keio University
1858
CALAMVS
GLADIO
FORTIOR

Shin Sasaki (Kitasato U., Jp)
Sven Bjarke Gudnason
(Lanzhou, China)



Refs

- [1] MN, S.Sasaki,
Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 105001 [[arXiv:1406.7647](#) [hep-th]]
- [2] MN, S.Sasaki,
Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 105002 [[arXiv:1408.4210](#) [hep-th]]
- [3] MN, S.Sasaki,
Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 125025 [[arXiv:1504.08123](#) [hep-th]]
- [4] S.B. Gudnason, MN, S.Sasaki,
JHEP 1602 (2016) 074 [[arXiv:1512.07557](#) [hep-th]]
-
- [5] MN, Mod.Phys.Lett. A15 (2000) 2327-2334 [[hep-th/0101166](#)]



Keio U.
@ Yokohama
in greater Tokyo

Topological Science Project

*Aimed to understand all
subjects of physics in terms of
Topology*

5 years, 11 postdocs

**Anyone here is very
welcome to visit!!**

Motivation

Skyrme model: **Skyrme('61)**

Low-energy effective theory (LEET) of QCD
Baryons = Solitons (Skyrmions)



LEET of $\mathcal{N}=1, \mathcal{N}=2$ SUSY QCD ('90s --)

Exact results **Seiberg, Seiberg-Witten ('94)**



LEET of SUSY QCD in chiral symm breaking sector

SUSY extension of Skyrme model

SUSY Skyrmions = SUSY baryons? Exact?

Notorious Problem in **SUSY higher derivatives**

Progress in baby-Skyrme model by

Adam, Queiruga, Sanchez-Guillen, Wereszczynski ('12-)

Motivation

Low-energy effective theories are usually derivative expansion.
Chiral Lagrangian, SUGRA as low-energy string etc

1. **LEEA of SUSY field theories:**

Derivative corrections in LEEA, SUGRA

2. **SUSY extension of HD theories**

Chiral Lagrangian, Wess-Zumino-Witten,
Skyrme model, Faddeev-Skyme model

3. **LEET of BPS p-branes, BPS topological solitons:**

Dirac-Born-Infeld, Nambu-Goto *etc*


4. **Cosmology:** k-inflation, Galileon inflation, ghost condensate

Notorious Problem: Auxiliary Field Problem

Chiral superfield $y^m = x^m + i\bar{\theta}\sigma^m\theta$ Auxiliary field

$$\Phi(y, \theta) = \varphi(y) + \theta\psi(y) + \theta\theta F(y)$$

H.D terms $\rightarrow \partial_m$ acts on

-  In general, F cannot be eliminated algebraically.
 F may become **dynamical DOF**.
Is SUSY OK? Introduce of further fermion partner?

Is it always the case?

If not, how can we construct higher derivative term without this problem?

SUSY Wess-Zumino-Witten term

Nemchansky & Rohm('85)

Nucl. Phys. B249, 157 (1985).

$$i \int d^4\theta \beta_{ijk\bar{k}} (\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) D^\alpha \Phi^i \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^m \partial_m \Phi^j \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger\bar{k}} + \text{c.c.}$$

If one sets $F = 0$

(2,1) tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{boson}}|_{F^i=0} = -4i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \lambda_{ijk^*l^*} (A, A^*) \partial_\mu A^i \partial_\nu A^j \partial_\rho A^{*k} \partial_\sigma A^{*l} \text{ **WZW** } \\ - 8\chi_{ijk^*l^*} (A, A^*) \partial_\mu A^i \partial^\mu A^{*k} \partial_\nu A^j \partial^\nu A^{*l} . \text{ **Additional** }$$

$$\lambda_{ijk^*l^*} = \beta_{ijk^*,l^*} - \bar{\beta}_{k^*l^*,i,j} \quad \chi_{ijk^*l^*} = \beta_{ijk^*,l^*} + \bar{\beta}_{k^*l^*,i,j}$$

However, explicitly

$$-2\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta} \left[\{ i(F^i \partial_\mu F^{*k} + F^{*k} \partial_\mu F^i) \partial^\mu A^j \beta_{ijk^*} (A, A^*) + \text{c.c.} \}$$

MN('00)

$$+ F^{*k} \partial_\mu F^j (\bar{\psi}^l \bar{\sigma}^\mu \psi^i) \{ \beta_{ij[k^*,l^*]} (A, A^*) - \bar{\beta}_{k^*l^*[i,j]} (A, A^*) \}]$$

SUSY Faddeev-Skyrme term

Nucl. Phys. B249, 93 (1985).

CP¹ model + 4 deriv

Bergshoeff, Nepomechie & Schnitzer ('85)

$$\int d^4\theta \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger \bar{i}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger \bar{j}} D^{\alpha} \Phi_i D^{\alpha} \Phi_j$$

$$\int d^4\theta \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger \bar{i}} D^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \Phi_i \quad \partial_m F \text{ but put } F = 0$$

L. Freyhult, Nucl. Phys. B681, 65 (2004).

- 1. 4 deriv term must contain ∂_t^4 ---- no Hamiltonian (Skyrme term $\text{Tr} [U_{\mu}^{\dagger} U_{\nu} U^{\mu \dagger} U^{\nu} - U_{\mu}^{\dagger} U^{\mu} U_{\nu}^{\dagger} U^{\nu}]$ does not)**
- 2. One cannot introduce superpotential W**
- 3. $F = 0$ is a solution, but dynamical? Quantization?**

The unique term free from the AF problem found so far

$$\int d^4\theta \Lambda_{ikj\bar{l}} (\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) D^\alpha \Phi^i D_\alpha \Phi^k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger j} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger \bar{l}}$$

$\Lambda_{ikj\bar{l}}$ **(2,2) tensor** 4 derivative term

- I.L. Buchbinder, S. Kuzenko, and Z. Yarevskaya, [NPB411, 665 \(1994\)](#) **LEEA of susy**
- A. T. Banin, I. L. Buchbinder, and N. G. Pletnev, [PRD74, 045010 \(2006\)](#) **WZW**
- J. Khoury, J.-L. Lehners, and B. Ovrut, [PRD83,125031 \(2011\)](#) **Ghost condensate**
- J. Khoury, J.-L. Lehners, and B. Ovrut, [PRD84,043521 \(2011\)](#) **Galileon**
- M. Koehn, J.-L. Lehners, and B. A. Ovrut, [PRD86, 085019 \(2012\)](#) **SUGRA**
- C. Adam, J.M. Queiruga, J. Sanchez-Guillen, and A.Wereszczynski,
[JHEP05 \(2013\)108](#) **SUSY baby Skymion**
- C. Adam, J.M. Queiruga, J. Sanchez-Guillen, and A.Wereszczynski,
[Phys. Rev. D 86, 105009 \(2012\)](#) **SUSY k-field theory**
- S. Sasaki, M. Yamaguchi, and D. Yokoyama, [PLB718, 1\(2012\)](#) **superpotential**

The unique term free from the AF problem found so far

$$\int d^4\theta \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} (\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) D^\alpha \Phi^i D_\alpha \Phi^k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger\bar{j}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger\bar{l}}$$

$\Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}}$ **(2,2) tensor** 4 derivative term

$\Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}}$ **can contain derivatives too:**

$$\Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} (\Phi, \Phi^\dagger, \partial_m \Phi, \partial_m \Phi^\dagger) \quad \text{Derivatives more than 4}$$

Can be used to construct derivative corrections to arbitrary order
MN, S.Sasaki, Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 105002 [[arXiv:1408.4210](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4210) [hep-th]]

BPS lumps & baby Skyrmons

MN, S.Sasaki,

Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 105001 [[arXiv:1406.7647](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.7647)] [hep-th]]

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d^4\theta K(\Phi^i, \Phi^{\dagger\bar{j}}) + \left(\int d^2\theta W(\Phi^i) + \text{H.c.} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{16} \int d^4\theta \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}}(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) D^\alpha \Phi^i D_\alpha \Phi^k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger\bar{j}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\dagger\bar{l}}$$

Bosonic part

$$\mathcal{L}_b = \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \varphi^i \partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} (-\partial_m \varphi^i \partial^m \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} + F^i \bar{F}^{\bar{j}}) + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi^i} F^i + \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} \bar{F}^{\bar{j}} \\ + \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}}(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) [(\partial_m \varphi^i \partial^m \varphi^k)(\partial_n \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} \partial^n \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{l}}) \\ - \partial_m \varphi^i F^k \partial^m \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} \bar{F}^{\bar{l}} + F^i \bar{F}^{\bar{j}} F^k \bar{F}^{\bar{l}}] \quad \text{No derivative on } F$$

EOM $\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \varphi^i \partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} F^i - 2 \partial_m \varphi^i F^k \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} \partial^m \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{l}} + 2 \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} F^i F^k \bar{F}^{\bar{l}} + \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} = 0$

EOM
$$\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \varphi^i \partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} F^i - 2 \partial_m \varphi^i F^k \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} \partial^m \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{l}} + 2 \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} F^i F^k \bar{F}^{\bar{l}} + \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} = 0$$

 We call this **canonical branch**

For $W = 0$, $F = 0$ is **one** solution

$$\mathcal{L}_b = -\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial \varphi^i \partial \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}}} \partial_m \varphi^i \partial^m \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} + \Lambda_{ik\bar{j}\bar{l}} (\partial_m \varphi^i \partial^m \varphi^k) (\partial_n \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{j}} \partial^n \bar{\varphi}^{\bar{l}})$$

But this is not so good, because it contains ∂_t^4

(Skyrme term, Faddeev-Skyrme term do not.)

Cannot be applied for $W \neq 0$,

For a single component, we can go further!
(Multi-component is still difficult.)

EOM for a single component

$$K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}F - 2F(\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi} - F\bar{F})\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) + \frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}} = 0.$$

$$W = 0$$

Canonical branch $F = 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_{1b} = -K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi} + (\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\varphi)(\partial_n\bar{\varphi}\partial^n\bar{\varphi})\Lambda \quad \partial_t^4$$

Non-canonical branch $F\bar{F} = -\frac{K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}}{2\Lambda} + \partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2b} = (|\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\varphi|^2 - (\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi})^2)\Lambda - \frac{(K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}})^2}{4\Lambda}$$

Baby Skyrme without ∂_t^4

No usual kinetic term

Potential

even without W

Remarks on non-canonical branch

No $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit, because of the potential $\frac{(K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}})^2}{4\Lambda}$.

$$F\bar{F} = -\frac{K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}}{2\Lambda} + \partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi} \geq 0 \text{ for consistency.}$$

$K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}$ does not have a meaning of the Kahler metric.

SUSY baby Skyrme model for $\mathbb{C}P^1$

C. Adam, J.M. Queiruga, J. Sanchez-Guillen, and A. Wereszczynski, [J. High Energy Phys. 05 \(2013\) 108](#).

EOM for a single component

$$K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}F - 2F(\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi} - F\bar{F})\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) + \frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}} = 0.$$

$$W \neq 0$$

$$2\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})\frac{\partial W}{\partial\varphi}F^3 + \frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}}(K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} - 2\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi})F + \left(\frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}}\right)^2 = 0$$

3 solutions (branches)

$$F = \omega^k \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3}} + \omega^{3-k} \sqrt[3]{-\frac{q}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3}} \quad \begin{array}{l} k = 0, 1, 2 \\ \omega^3 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial\varphi}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}}\right) (K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} - 2\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})\partial_m\varphi\partial^m\bar{\varphi})$$

$$q = \frac{1}{2\Lambda(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial\varphi}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial\bar{W}}{\partial\bar{\varphi}}\right)^2$$

SUSY transformation

$$\delta_{\xi}^{\text{off}} \psi_{\alpha} = i\sqrt{2}(\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \varphi + \sqrt{2} \xi_{\alpha} F$$

Conditions on $\xi \iff$ BPS equations

BPS lumps

$\frac{1}{2}$ SUSY

$$\xi^{\dot{1}} = 0$$

$$F = 0 \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(x^1 + ix^2) \quad \partial = \partial_1 - i\partial_2$$

On-shell BPS equation

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi = 0 \quad \Lambda \text{ cancelled out}$$

Bogomol'nyi bound (the same with $\Lambda = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} |\partial_i \varphi|^2 - |\partial_i \varphi \partial_i \varphi|^2 \Lambda \\ &= |\bar{\partial}\varphi|^2 (K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} - \underline{|\partial\varphi|^2 \Lambda}) + iK_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} \varepsilon_{ij} \partial_i \varphi \partial_j \bar{\varphi} \\ &\geq +iK_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}} \varepsilon_{ij} \partial_i \varphi \partial_j \bar{\varphi}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi_2(M) = \mathbf{Z}$$

BPS baby Skyrmion

1/4 SUSY

$$\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 + i\sigma^2)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^1 - i\sigma^2)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = ie^{-i\eta}\xi_{\alpha},$$



**Off-shell
BPS equation**

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi = e^{i\eta}F$$

Same with d.w.junction

On-shell BPS equation

$$\bar{\partial}\varphi = e^{i\eta'} \sqrt{-\frac{K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}}{2\Lambda} + \frac{1}{2}(|\partial\varphi|^2 + |\bar{\partial}\varphi|^2)}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(|\partial\varphi|^2 - |\bar{\partial}\varphi|^2) = \frac{K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}}{2\Lambda}$$

Bogomol'nyi bound

$$\mathcal{E} = -(|\partial_i\varphi\partial_i\varphi|^2 - (\partial_i\varphi\partial_i\bar{\varphi})^2)\Lambda + \frac{(K_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}})^2}{4\Lambda}$$

$$\geq +iK_{\varphi\bar{\varphi}}\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\varphi\partial_j\bar{\varphi}$$

$$\pi_2(M) = \mathbf{Z}$$

Compacton

Adam et.al

**The same with
1/2 BPS lump**

SUSY Skyrme model

S.B. Gudnason, MN, S.Sasaki,
JHEP 1602 (2016) 074 [[arXiv:1512.07557](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.07557) [hep-th]]

Chiral symmetry breaking

$$G = SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R \rightarrow H = SU(N)_{L+R}$$

Nambu-Goldstone(NG) boson (pions)

$$U = e^{i\pi^A T_A} \in G/H = \frac{SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R}{SU(N)_{L+R}} \simeq SU(N)$$

Not Kahler

Complexified for $\mathcal{N}=1$ SUSY

$$M = \exp(i\Phi^A t^A) \in SU(N)^{\mathbb{C}} = G^{\mathbb{C}}/H^{\mathbb{C}} \simeq SL(N, \mathbb{C}) \simeq T^*SU(N)$$

$$\Phi^A(y, \theta) = \pi^A(y) + i\sigma^A(y) + \theta\psi^A(y) + \theta^2 F^A(y)$$

NG

Quasi-NG

W. Lerche, [NPB238\(1984\)582](#)

G.M. Shore, [NPB248\(1984\)123](#)

Theorem (Lerche, Shore '84)

1. There must appear at least **one QNG boson** for symmetry breaking with preserving SUSY (in the absence of gauge interaction).
2. For real representation, the **same number of QNG bosons** as the number of NG bosons appear (fully doubled).
(eg: **chiral symmetry breaking**)

G -transformation law

$$M \rightarrow M' = g_L M g_R, \quad (g_L, g_R) \in \text{SU}(N)_L \times \text{SU}(N)_R.$$

The most general G -invariant Kahler potential

$$K = f \left[\text{Tr} \left[M M^\dagger \right], \text{Tr} \left[\left(M M^\dagger \right)^2 \right], \dots, \text{Tr} \left[\left(M M^\dagger \right)^{N-1} \right] \right]$$

The simplest $K_0 = f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} \left(M M^\dagger \right)$

G.M.Shore('89)

Setting QNG=0

$$U = M|_{\sigma^A=0} \in \text{SU}(N);$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,b}^{(2)}|_{\sigma^A=0} = -f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} \left(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger \right) \quad \text{Usual principal chiral model}$$

SUSY Skyrme term

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{16} \int d^4\theta \Lambda_{ikj\bar{l}}(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger) D^\alpha \Phi^i D_\alpha \Phi^k \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\bar{j}\dagger} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} \Phi^{\bar{l}\dagger} \\ &= \frac{1}{16} \int d^4\theta \Lambda(M, M^\dagger) \text{Tr} \left[D^\alpha M \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} M^\dagger D_\alpha M \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} M^\dagger \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda(M, M^\dagger) = g \left[\text{Tr} [MM^\dagger], \text{Tr} \left[(MM^\dagger)^2 \right], \dots, \text{Tr} \left[(MM^\dagger)^{N-1} \right] \right]$$

Bosonic part

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,b}^{(4)} = \Lambda(M, M^\dagger) \text{Tr} \left[M_\mu^\dagger M_\nu M^{\mu\dagger} M^\nu + (F^\dagger F)^2 - M_\mu^\dagger M^\mu F^\dagger F - M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger} F F^\dagger \right]$$

Canonical branch $F = 0$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,b} = -f_\pi^2 \text{Tr} M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger} + \Lambda(M, M^\dagger) \text{Tr} M_\mu^\dagger M_\nu M^{\mu\dagger} M^\nu.$$

including ∂_t^4 **Not** Skyrme term

Non-canonical branch (**SU(2)**)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{0,b}^{(4)} &= \frac{\Lambda(M, M^\dagger)}{2} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[2M_\mu^\dagger M_\nu M^{\mu\dagger} M^\nu - \frac{1}{2} M_\mu^\dagger M^\mu M_\nu^\dagger M^\nu - \frac{1}{2} M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger} M_\nu M^{\nu\dagger} \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Tr}[M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger}] \right)^2 \right\} \\ &\mp \sqrt{\left(\text{Tr} \left[M_\mu^\dagger M^\mu M_\nu^\dagger M^\nu \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Tr}[M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger}] \right)^2 \right) \left(\text{Tr} \left[M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger} M_\nu M^{\nu\dagger} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{Tr}[M_\mu M^{\mu\dagger}] \right)^2 \right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting QNG=0

$$U = M|_{\sigma^A=0} \in \text{SU}(N),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,b}^{(4)} = \Lambda(U, U^\dagger) \text{Tr} \left[U_\mu^\dagger U_\nu U^{\mu\dagger} U^\nu - U_\mu^\dagger U^\mu U_\nu^\dagger U^\nu \right]$$

without ∂_t^4

Skyrme term!!

Inclusion of Kahler potential

$$\mathcal{L} = f_\pi^2 \int d^4\theta \operatorname{Tr} [M^\dagger M] + \frac{1}{16} \int d^4\theta \Lambda (M, M^\dagger) \operatorname{Tr} [\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} M^\dagger D_\alpha M \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}} M^\dagger D^\alpha M]$$

Setting QNG=0 $U = M|_{\sigma^A=0} \in \text{SU}(N)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_b|_{M=U} = \Lambda (U, U^\dagger) \operatorname{Tr} [U^\dagger_\mu U_\nu U^{\dagger\mu} U^\nu - U^\dagger_\mu U^\mu U^\dagger_\nu U^\nu] - \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{f_\pi^2}{4\Lambda (U, U^\dagger)} \mathbf{1}_2 \right]$$

Skyrme term

No usual kinetic term

“Potential term”

Summary

1. SUSY baby Skyrme model
2. SUSY Skyrme model
3. (Higher derivative terms without the auxiliary field problem)
4. (Classified BPS equations)

Future works

1. BPS Skyrmons in the SUSY Skyrme model?
2. Inclusion of superpotential
3. $\mathcal{N}=2$ SUSY extension
4. Can't we really introduce usual kinetic term?
5. LEET of SUSY QCD? SUSY WZW?
6. SUSY extension of BPS Skyrme model?